

SPECIAL HANDLINGCOR-1326
COPY 2 OF 2

SHC61-9031A217

Copy #2

September 11, 1961

Dear Jim:

Reference our conversation 7 September on [REDACTED] Task Order No. 2 (9031), work has been performed on this task since approximately the first of July, and, after some nine weeks, it has been determined that certain deviations from the specifications originally outlined are desired. The two specifications from which we would like to receive relief may be found in "Proposal for a Rear-Projection Viewer," dated 8 February 1961, ITL-3205-1, Page 1, Sup-paragraphs "e" and "f".

It is herein requested that the requirement for a 180° image rotation through the use of optical train be deleted. This results from the fact that the angular coverage of high-altitude panoramic photography is such that the apparent distortion, due to the image inversion, is not great enough to warrant this rotation. This problem has been discussed with your technical representatives of NPIB, AFCIN, and SAC, who confirmed our suspicions by informing us of the fact that on previous units this image rotation device is not frequently used.

Technically, the deletion of this inversion offers several advantages:

1. The number of mirrors may be greatly reduced, thereby making the specification of 200 l-mm more obtainable.
2. The reduction in complexity of the rotation devices aids in satisfying the specification for maximum mechanical and electronic simplicity.
3. A substantial savings in production costs.

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

SPECIAL HANDLING

SPECIAL HANDLING

The second item from which we are desirous of having relief is the requirement for point location within a frame to an accuracy of 0.01". We request that this specification be changed to 0.1". It has been determined that a point location of 0.1" accuracy is adequate. In addition to this fact, it is also understood that mensuration capabilities are not a requirement for this unit. The ultimate result of the approval of these specification changes would:

1. Reduce the ultimate production costs of the viewers without compromising the operational requirements. It is also felt that, in order to obtain a resolution of [REDACTED] at 50X, the optical path must be basic and free of all extraneous complexities.
2. Reduce the number of mirrors.
3. Delete the prism.
4. Simplify the optical path through the reduction of the number of mirrors required, thereby insuring the [REDACTED] resolution requirements at a substantial reduction of cost, and complexity in production.

In order to insure the conservation of costs on this contract, we have suspended work on this particular phase, pending resolution of this request.

We would like to express at this time our willingness to discuss this at length with your technical people, if you so desire. We hope this will meet with your approval and a reply will be forthcoming shortly.

Very truly yours,



Contracts Manager

STATINTL

HAM:pjf

SPECIAL HANDLING

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET**THIS DOCUMENT REQUIRES SPECIAL HANDLING**HANDLING PROCEDURES

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION REGARDING A HIGHLY CLASSIFIED ACTIVITY. PERMISSION TO TRANSFER CUSTODY, OR PERMIT ACCESS TO THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE ORIGINATOR. HAND CARRY PROCEDURES WILL BE APPLIED TO ANY INTER-OFFICE OR INTRA-AGENCY MOVEMENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.

**This document contains information
referring to Project CORONA**

REFERRED TO	RECEIVED			RELEASED		SEEN BY	
OFFICE	SIGNATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	NAME AND OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

ROUTING SLIP

STATINTL

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
1.	[REDACTED]	NPIC	
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
RETURN TO SENDER			
ACTION		CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION
COMMENT		FILE	SIGNATURE
REMARKS			
<p>Josh</p> <p>Please take a look at the</p> <p>the fun [REDACTED] and let me</p> <p>know what you want to do.</p>			
FROM			
[REDACTED]		PHONE NO.	4116
[REDACTED]		DATE	9/15/61

STATINTL

STATINTL

FORM 1582
1-59

(40)